

Comprehensive Listing of Testimony by K. W. Brown

- | | | |
|----|--|--|
| 13 | Civil No. N-87-52 (PCD). <i>The B.F. Goodrich Company, et al., Plaintiffs v. Harold Murtha, et al., Defendants v. Risdon Corporation et al., Third Party Defendants</i> . In the United States District Court, District of Connecticut. Case involved characterization of hazardous substances in waste generated by industries, commercial establishments, and municipalities disposed at two landfills in Connecticut, Beacon Heights Landfills and Laurel Park Landfill, which were classified as Superfund sites. Retained by Plaintiffs Beacon Heights Coalition and Laurel Park Coalition. | 01/05/98 to
01/09/98 and
01/12/98 to
01/13/98 |
| 12 | Docket Nos. CV-96-0564091S and CV-96-0564092S; <i>Oxford Tire Supply, Inc., Plaintiff v. Commissioner of the Department of Revenue Services, Defendant</i> . In the Superior Court, Judicial District of Hartford/New Britain at Hartford, Connecticut. Case involved tax issues associated with handling of hazardous materials as defined by Connecticut tax regulation. Primary area of testimony was leaching of hazardous substances from rubber tire waste. Retained by Plaintiffs. | 12/18/97 |
| 11 | Civil Action No. 292CV00674(JBA); <i>The Companies for Fair Allocation Group v. Axil Corporation, et al.</i> In the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut. Case involved question of hazardous waste disposal by the Dynamics Corporation of America (Waring Division) at the Barkhamsted-New Hartford Landfill Superfund site in Barkhamsted, Connecticut. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 6/13–14/96 |
| 10 | Civil Action No. 93-CV-0090-B; <i>KN Energy, Inc., et al., v. Sinclair Oil Corp., d/b/a/ Little America Refining Co.</i> United States District Court, District of Wyoming. Case involved study of hydrocarbon and metals groundwater plume, which had migrated from the area of a refinery and adjacent terminal to a nearby neighborhood in Wyoming. Work involved study of the origin of the plume. Retained by the Plaintiff. | 6/6/95 |
| 9 | Cause No. CA-94-CI-05270; <i>John Gibson Trustee v. Exxon Corporation</i> . District Court, 225 th Judicial District, Bexar County, Texas. Suit involved claim by property owners adjacent to an old refinery for damages due to contaminant migration onto their property from previous waste disposal operations at the closed refinery. Retained by the Defendant. | 2/17/95
2/21/95 |
| 8 | Docket No. N-87-52 (PCD), All Cases; <i>The B.F. Goodrich Company, et al., Plaintiffs v. Harold Murtha, et al., Defendants v. Risdon Corporation et al., Third Party Defendants</i> . United States District Court for the District of Connecticut. Suit concerning the hazardous nature of waste disposed at the Beacon Heights Landfill Superfund site. Retained by the Plaintiff. | 12/15–19/94 |
| 7 | Case No. 390-37213-SAF-11 and Case No. 390-37214-SAF-11, jointly administered Chapter 11; In re: <i>National Gypsum Company v. Aancor Holdings, Inc.</i> Suit involved groundwater contamination associated with Rolling Knolls Landfill. Retained by the U.S.A. | 5/18–20/92
6/1/92
6/24/92 |
| 6 | Case No. CIV-86-1401-P; <i>The United States of America v. Royal N. Hardage, et al., Advance Chemical Company, et al., v. ABCO, et al.</i> United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma. Enforcement of ROD concerning remediation of hazardous waste site. Retained by the Plaintiff. | 10/27/89 |

Comprehensive Listing of Testimony by K. W. Brown

- | | | |
|---|---|------------|
| 5 | <i>The United States of America, the State of New York, and UDC-Love Canal, Inc., v. Occidental Chemical Corp., Occidental Chemical Holding Corp., Occidental Petroleum Investment Co., Occidental Petroleum Corp., City of Niagara Falls, Niagara County Health Department, and the Board of Education of the City of Niagara Falls, Love Canal Landfill Superfund site.</i> United States District Court for the Western District of New York. Testimony on the mobility of organic pollutants through clay. Retained by the Plaintiff. | 3/20-21/89 |
| 4 | Case No. 85-17210-C; <i>James L. Slaughter, et al., v. Farm and Home Savings, et al.</i> , and Case No. 86-48352; <i>Mike Fenimore, et al., v. Farm and Home Savings</i> . 151 st Judicial District Court of Harris County, Texas. Case involved issues of land development and exposure to petrochemical wastes by residents in neighborhoods adjacent to the Brio/Dixie Oil Processors Superfund sites (Houston, Texas). Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 12/6/89 |
| 3 | <i>Barbara Lips v. Jacobs Oil Company.</i> Federal District Court in Corpus Christi. Testimony on the damages and reclamation results from oilfield drilling mud wastes. Retained by the Plaintiff. | 1985 |
| 2 | <i>Blaire v. Palmer Oil.</i> Texas District Court. Suit over the destruction of land resulting from oil exploration activities. (587091018) Retained by the Plaintiff. | |
| 1 | <i>Jarvis L. Smoak v. Arkansas Louisiana Gas Company.</i> In the Texas District Court of Marshall, Texas. Contamination of soil and loss of trees due to oil spill. Retained by the Defendant. | |

Comprehensive Listing of Testimony by K. W. Brown

DEPOSITION TESTIMONY

- | | | |
|----|--|-----------------------|
| 75 | Case no. 04-C-296-2; <i>Lenora Perrine, et al., Plaintiffs vs. E.I. DuPont de Nemours and Company, et al., Defendants</i> , in the Circuit Court of Harrison County, West Virginia. This case involved the contamination of homes and properties with metal dust from the former Meadowbrook Smelter in Spelter, West Virginia. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 1/25-26/06
2/10/06 |
| 74 | Cause No. 02-4162 JPG; <i>Chevron Environmental Management Company, Chevron Environmental Services Company, and Texaco Inc., Plaintiffs, v. Indian Refining I Limited Partnership (f/k/a Indian Refining Limited Partnership), et al, Defendants</i> in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois. Case involved remediation and allocation of costs for the former Indian refinery in Lawrenceville, IL. Retained by the Plaintiff | 8/3/05 |
| 73 | Cause No. 02-4162 JPG; <i>Chevron Environmental Management Company, Chevron Environmental Services Company, and Texaco Inc., Plaintiffs, v. Indian Refining I Limited Partnership (f/k/a Indian Refining Limited Partnership), et al, Defendants</i> in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois. Case involved remediation and allocation of costs for the former Indian refinery in Lawrenceville, IL. Retained by the Plaintiff | 6/2/05 |
| 72 | Civil Action No. 95-CV-6400L, <i>Seneca Meadows, Plaintiff vs. ECI Liquidating, et al., Defendants</i> in the United States District Court, Western District of New York. This case involved claims against defendants concerning the disposal of hazardous substances in the Tantalio Landfill, Seneca Falls, New York. Retained by the Plaintiff. | 5/27/05 |
| 71 | File No. C7-0310992; <i>State of Minnesota, Plaintiff, v. American Hardware Mutual Insurance Company, Defendants</i> in the District Court of Minnesota, Tenth Judicial District. Case involved the disposal of hazardous substances with industrial waste at Oak Grove and East Bethel Landfills and the contamination of groundwater as a result of these disposal practices. Retained by the Plaintiff. | 4/21/05 |
| 70 | Case No. 03-CV-327 (H) M; <i>Betty Jean Cole, et al., Plaintiffs, v. Asarco Incorporated, et al., Defendants</i> in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma. Case involved an evaluation of the Tar Creek Superfund site and the subsequent assessment and evaluation of lead contamination and lead transport pathways in the communities of Picher and Cardin, Oklahoma, including the impacts of lead exposure to the children within these communities. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 12/7/04 |
| 69 | Civil Action No. 01:01-CV-890; <i>Lyondell Chemical Company, et al., Plaintiffs v. Albemarle Corporation, et al., Defendants</i> in the United States District Court for the Eastern District Of Texas, Beaumont Division. This case involved the disposal of waste containing hazardous substances and groundwater contamination at the Turtle Bayou Superfund in Liberty County, Texas. Retained by ExxonMobil, Defendant. | 7/8/04 |

Comprehensive Listing of Testimony by K. W. Brown

-
- | | | |
|----|--|----------------------|
| 68 | Case No. 03-CV-327 (H) M; <i>Betty Jean Cole, et al., Plaintiffs, v. Asarco Incorporated, et al., Defendants</i> in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma. Case involved an evaluation of the Tar Creek Superfund site and the subsequent assessment and evaluation of lead contamination and lead transport pathways in the communities of Picher and Cardin, Oklahoma, including the impacts of lead exposure to the children within these communities. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 6/30/04 |
| 67 | Court File No. CT 02-016741; <i>State of Minnesota, by its Attorney General, Mike Hatch, Plaintiff, v. American Hardware Mutual Insurance Co., et al., Defendants</i> in the District Court of Minnesota, Fourth Judicial District. Case involved the disposal of hazardous substances with industrial waste at the WDE and St. Augusta Landfills and the contamination of groundwater as a result of these disposal practices. Retained by the Plaintiff. | 2/24/04 |
| 66 | Civil Action No. 98-CV-0838S (F); <i>W.R. Grace & Co.-Conn., Plaintiff, V. Zotos International, Inc., Defendant</i> in the United States District Court Western District Of New York. Case involved the disposal of cosmetic waste at the Brewer Road Landfill in Waterloo County, and the contamination of groundwater as a result of these disposal practices. Retained by the Plaintiff. | 2/12/04 |
| 65 | Case No. 00-01917 MRP (MANx); <i>Shell Chemical Co., et al., Plaintiffs, vs. The County of Los Angeles, et al., Defendants</i> in the United States District Court for the Central District of California; Case No. 00-1938 MRP (MANx); <i>Phillips Petroleum Co., et al., Plaintiffs, vs. The County of Los Angeles, et al., Defendants</i> in the United States District Court for the Central District of California; and Case No. 00-6420 MRP (MANx); <i>Atlantic Richfield Co., et al., Plaintiffs, vs. BKK Corporation, et al., Defendants</i> in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. These combined cases involved hazardous substances associated with municipal solid waste being deposited at Cal Compact Landfill. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 3/7/03 |
| 64 | Court File No. MC00-001819; <i>State of Minnesota, by its Attorney General, Mike Hatch, Plaintiff, v. Employers Insurance of Wausau, A Mutual Company, et al., Defendants</i> in the District Court of Minnesota, Fourth Judicial District. Case involved the disposal of hazardous substances with industrial waste at the Oak Grove Landfill and East Bethel Landfill in Anoka County, and the contamination of groundwater as a result of these disposal practices. For the Plaintiff. | 12/17/02 |
| 63 | Case No. 80-1589; <i>United States of America, Plaintiff, vs. City of Philadelphia, Plaintiff-Intervenor, vs. Union Corporation Metal Bank of America, et al., Defendants, vs. Consolidated Edison Company of New York, et al., Third Party Defendants</i> in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. This case involved claims against the defendants concerning the release of PCBs from the Metal Bank/Cottman Avenue Site to the Delaware River. Retained by the Defendants | 5/28/02 |
| 62 | Civil Action No. H-98-0408 <i>United States of America, et al. vs. Atlantic Richfield Company, et al vs. Ashland, Inc., et al.,</i> in the United States District Court Southern District of Texas Houston Division. This case involved claims against defendants concerning waste disposal at Sikes Pit. Retained by the Defendant. | 6/26/01 -
6/27/01 |

Comprehensive Listing of Testimony by K. W. Brown

- | | | |
|----|--|----------------------|
| 61 | Civil Action No. 95-2097 <i>Interfaith Community Organization, et al. v. Honeywell International, Inc. et al.</i> , in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. This case involved claims against the defendants concerning disposal of chromium waste at the Roosevelt Drive-In Site in Jersey City, New Jersey. Retained by W.R. Grace & Co., W.R. Grace Ltd. and ECARG, Inc., Defendants. | 6/20/01 |
| 60 | Civil Action No. 5:97CV00894; <i>United States of America v. Chrysler Corp. et al.</i> , in the in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. This case involved claims against the defendants concerning disposal of hazardous substances in the Krejci Dump Site. Retained by Minnesota Mining Manufacturing Company, Defendant. | 06/07/01 |
| 59 | Civil Action No. G-96-493; <i>Janie Rivas, et al., vs. Monsanto Company, et al.</i> , in the United States District Court for the Southern district of Texas Galveston Division. This case involves modeling of emissions and air dispersion of hazardous substances emanating from petrochemical wastes processed and disposed of at the Brio/Dixie Oil Processors Superfund Sites in Houston, Texas and related exposures to children in adjacent neighborhoods. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 03/16/01 |
| 58 | Case No. CIV-91-2067-PHX-PGR <i>Maurice McIntire, et al. vs. Motorola, Inc.</i> , in the United States District Court in and for the District of Arizona. This case involved a lawsuit by certain Phoenix residents concerning the VOC groundwater and ambient air plumes perpetrated by Motorola and the subsequent exposure of the litigants to the hazardous substances. This deposition involved waste management and waste handling. | 5/31/00 -
6/2/00 |
| 57 | Case No. 98-CV0726 <i>Connie Lolley Klostermann, et al vs. Ultramar Diamond Shamrock Corporation, et al.</i> , in the 212 th Judicial District Court, Galveston County, Texas. This case involved a lawsuit by the landowner concerning property damage resulting from leaking storage tank contamination. Retained by Diamond Shamrock, Defendant. | 5/26/00 |
| 56 | Case No. 97-6222 MRP (MANx) <i>Commercial Realty Projects, Inc., and L.A. Metromall LLC, vs. Atlantic Richfield Company, et al.</i> , in the United States District Court in for the Central District of California. This case involved hazardous substances associated with municipal solid waste being deposited at Cal Compact Landfill. Retained by the Defendants. | 3/6/00 |
| 55 | Case No. 93-055257 <i>Ralph L. Nichols, Jr., et al. vs. Monsanto Company, et al.</i> , in the 125 th Judicial District Court of Harris County, Texas. This case involved contaminant migration via air, surface water, and groundwater media from the Dixie Oil Processors Superfund Site to adjacent athletic field. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 1/28/00 |
| 54 | Case No. CIV-91-2067-PHX-PGR <i>Maurice McIntire, et al. vs. Motorola, Inc.</i> , in the United States District Court in and for the District of Arizona. This case involved a lawsuit by certain Phoenix residents concerning the VOC groundwater and ambient air plumes perpetrated by Motorola and the subsequent exposure of the litigants to the hazardous substances. | 12/6/99 -
12/8/99 |
| 53 | Case No. 89-4340 (JBS) <i>United States vs. Helen Kramer et al.</i> , In the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. This case involved hazardous substance deposition into Kramer Landfill (Superfund Site) by defendants. Retained by lawyers for the plaintiff. | 10/6/99 |

Comprehensive Listing of Testimony by K. W. Brown

- | | | |
|----|--|------------------------------------|
| 52 | Case No. 92-034865; <i>James E. Barnet, Sr., et al., vs. Monsanto Company, et al.</i> In the District Court of Harris County, Texas, 80 th District Court. This case involved former workers' claims concerning exposure to hazardous chemicals. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 4/28/99 |
| 51 | Case No. 95C-1065; <i>Lemberger Sites Remediation Group, Plaintiff, v. A.M. Richter & Sons Co., et al., and White Consolidated Industries, Inc., Defendants</i> ; In the United States District Court Eastern District of Wisconsin. This case involved hazardous constituents in waste going to Lemberger Landfill (Superfund Site). Retained by the Plaintiff. | 3/12/99 |
| 50 | Case No. 98-459-A; <i>Lewie Byers; vs. Texaco Exploration and Production Inc. and Texaco Inc.</i> In the District Court of Smith County, Texas 7 th Judicial District. This case involves claims of contamination due to releases of crude oil and fluids from oil field production activities. Retained by the Defendant. | 1/22/99 |
| 49 | C.A. No. G-96-493; <i>Janie Rivas, et al., vs. Monsanto Company, et al.; Defendant.</i> In the United States District Court for the Southern district of Texas Galveston Division. This case involves modeling of emissions and air dispersion of hazardous substances emanating from petrochemical wastes processed and disposed of at the Brio/Dixie Oil Processors Superfund Sites in Houston, Texas and related exposures to children in adjacent neighborhoods. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 12/30/98 |
| 48 | Cause No. 95-044151; <i>Rebecca Johnson, et al., and On Behalf of All Those Similarly Situated, Plaintiffs, vs. Exxon Company, U.S.A., et al., Defendant.</i> In the 61 st Judicial District Court, Harris County, Texas. Case involved claims of contamination to a neighborhood near Carver Elementary school that was built over covered pits where oil began to surface in 1995. Retained by the Defendant. | 7/24/98 |
| 47 | Case 75524; <i>Clarice Friloux, et al., Plaintiffs, vs. Campbell Wells Corporation, et al., Defendants.</i> In the 17 th Judicial District Court, Parish of Lafourche, Louisiana. Case involved claims of offsite air migration of hazardous substances purportedly associated with a non-hazardous oilfield waste disposal facility. Retained by the Defendants. | 5/21/98 |
| 46 | Case No. 93-004644; <i>Mike Adalis, et. al., Plaintiffs, vs. Neighborhood Development Corporation, et al, Defendants.</i> In the District Court of Harris County, Texas, 269 th Judicial District. Case involved claims of groundwater and related drinking water well contamination attributable to 50 year old oil well blowout. Retained by the defendant Exxon. | 2/13/98 and
7/8/98 |
| 45 | Civil Action No. 95-514875-CE; <i>Grand Trunk Western Railroad, Incorporated and Star Oil Company, Inc., Plaintiffs vs. Union Oil Company of California, Wynkoop Oil Company, Clement Wynkoop, Secory Oil Company and Lewis Secory, Defendants and Union Oil Company and Clement Wynkoop, Counter-Plaintiffs/Cross-Plaintiffs vs. Secory Oil Company and Lewis Secory.</i> Case involved modeling of the transport and fate of hydrocarbon fuels, which leaked from storage, tanks at a terminal and allegedly migrated onto adjoining properties of plaintiffs. Retained by the Defendants. | 5/22/97 and
6/2/97 to
6/3/97 |

Comprehensive Listing of Testimony by K. W. Brown

- | | | |
|----|--|---------------------|
| 44 | Case No. 93-03044, <i>James W. Allen, III and Victoria Ann Allen, et al. Monsanto Company, et. al.</i> , and Case No. 93-14478, Christopher Irwin and Jon H. Moore, et al., in the District Court of Harris County, Texas, 113 th Judicial District. Case involved contaminant migration via air, surface water and groundwater media from the Dixie Oil Processors Superfund Site to adjacent children's athletic field. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 5/13/97 |
| 43 | Civil Action 96C19S; <i>Junker Landfill v. United Waste</i> . In the U.S. District Court, Western District. Case involved study of hazardous substances in wastes generated by approximately 500 generators taken to Junker Landfill (Superfund Site). Retained by Plaintiffs. | 2/28/97 |
| 42 | Cause No. A99,534; <i>Joann McKnight Lambert v. Melvin B. Etheredge, et al.</i> In the 70th District Court, Ector County, Texas. Case involved study of subsurface moisture condition and moisture migration through house slab. Retained by Plaintiff, Lambert. | 1/29/97 |
| 41 | Case No.: 2:92-CV-111; <i>Commercial Union Insurance Co., et. al., v. Cannelton Industries, Inc.</i> , In the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan. Case involved claim against insurance company for environmental remediation cost recovery associated with chromium contamination of St. Marys River due to a fire at an old tannery plant. Retained by Defendant. | 9/5/96 |
| 40 | Civil Action No. BC015575; <i>Atlantic Richfield Company and ARCO Chemical Company v. Aetna Casualty and Surety Company of America, et. al.</i> ; Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles. Case involved disputed claims for insurance coverage of environmental contamination at old refinery sites at Sand Springs, Oklahoma, and Prewitt, New Mexico. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 4/9/96 -
4/10/96 |
| 39 | Civil Action No. 92-2-28065-5; <i>Aluminum Company of America and Northwest Alloys, Inc. v. Accident and Casualty Insurance Company, et al.</i> Superior Court of the State of Washington, In and For the County of King. Case involved disputed claims for insurance coverage of environmental contamination at three aluminum-manufacturing facilities across the country, and entailed extensive interpretation of historical aerial photographs of the facilities. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 2/5/96 |
| 38 | Civil Action No. 85-17210-G; <i>David L. Smithson et al., v. Monsanto Company, et. al.</i> , District Courts, 11th Judicial District, Harris County, Texas; and Civil Action No. 93-045095; <i>Thuy Thi Diep, et al., v. Monsanto Company, et al.</i> ; District Court, 55 th Judicial District, Harris County, Texas. These consolidated cases involved the Brio Superfund Site and exposure of adjacent residents to hazardous chemicals in an old waste disposal site through air, soil, groundwater, surface water and drinking water pathways. Retained by Plaintiffs. | 11/8/95 |
| 37 | Civil Action No. 87-4263(JHR); <i>General Electric Company v. Buzby Brothers Materials Handling Company, et al.</i> United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. Case involved recovery from commercial and municipal transporters of wastes of the costs for remediation of groundwater contamination at the site of the RCA-Buzby Landfill (Superfund Site) near Voorhees, New Jersey. Retained by the Plaintiff. | 6/13-14/96 |

Comprehensive Listing of Testimony by K. W. Brown

- | | | |
|----|---|---------------------|
| 36 | Case No. 94-C-1025; <i>City and County of Denver et al., v. Alumet et al.</i> United States District Court for the District of Colorado. Case involving the Lowry Landfill Superfund site in Denver, Colorado, and the apportionment of remediation costs due to contamination from co-disposal of municipal and industrial wastes. Retained by the Defendants. | 8/17/95 |
| 35 | Cause No. 15,527; <i>Gary David Harding et al., v. Browning-Ferris Industries, Inc., et. al.</i> , District Court, 229 th Judicial District, Duval County, Texas. Case involving personal injury and property damage from industrial waste migration from a landfill. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 6/22/95 |
| 34 | Case No. 93-03674-CA; In Re: <i>Hipps Road Litigation</i> . Circuit Court of the Fourth Judicial Circuit In and For Duval County, Florida. Suit regarding contamination of drinking water wells from hazardous waste leaking from a landfill. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 5/26/95 |
| 33 | Cause No. 94-1499-A; <i>Ardith Cavallo, et al., v. Star Enterprise, et al.</i> United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia; Alexandria Division. Retained by the Defendant. | 5/5/95 |
| 32 | Case No. CJ-92-3515-62; <i>N.C. Corff Partnership, Ltd., et al., v. Oxy USA, Inc.</i> Oklahoma County District Court. Retained by the Defendant. | 4/26/95 |
| 31 | Civil Action No. 93-CV-0090-B; <i>KN Energy, Inc. et al., v. Sinclair Oil Corporation, d/b/a/ Little America Refining Co.</i> United States District Court, District of Wyoming. Retained by the Plaintiff. | 4/19/95 |
| 30 | Cause No. CV-90-75-BU-PGH; <i>Atlantic Richfield Co. v. Torger L. Oaas et. al.</i> , United States District Court for the District of Montana, Butte Division. Retained by the Plaintiff. | 11/7/94
11/11/94 |
| 29 | Cause No. 92-032723; <i>Clear Creek Independent School District v. Farm & Home Savings Association, et. al.</i> , District Court 11 th Judicial District, Harris County, Texas. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 10/5/94 |
| 28 | Cause No. CW 93-39-BU-PGH; <i>Montana Resources, Inc. and Dennis R. Washington v. Atlantic Richfield Company</i> . United States District Court for the District of Montana, Butte Division. Retained by the Defendants. | 9/9/94 |
| 27 | Cause No. 31,692-S; <i>Frank J. Kramr, Individually and as Parents and next friends of Sarah Kramr, a minor, v. Eastern Pipeline Company</i> . District Court 329 th Judicial District, Wharton County, Texas. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 9/7/94 |
| 26 | Cause No. 85-17210-G; <i>Gary L. Abel, et al., and David L. & Angell R. Smithson v. Monsanto, et al.</i> District Court 151 st Judicial District, Harris County. Suit concerning the Brio Superfund site. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 5/18/94 |
| 25 | Case No. 92-CI-15104; <i>Lionel Laguna and wife, Celia Laguna v. Exxon Corporation United States of America</i> . District Court, 57th Judicial District, Bexar County, Texas. Retained by the Defendant. | 12/10/93 |

Comprehensive Listing of Testimony by K. W. Brown

24	Civil Action No. SA-92-CA-0616; <i>Jesse Sherrod, et al., v. U.S.A.</i> Western District Court of Texas, San Antonio Division. Suit regarding the alleged leakage of chlorinated solvents from an air force base to surrounding areas. Retained by the Plaintiffs.	4/9/93
23	Case No. 390-37213-SAF-11 and Case No. 390-37214-SAF-11, jointly administered Chapter 11; In re: <i>National Gypsum Company v. Aancor Holdings, Inc.</i> Retained by the U.S.	6/24/92 4/26-27/92 5/18-20/92
22	Cause No. 85-17210-F; <i>Andrea Acosta, et al., v. Farm & Home Savings Association.</i> District Court of Harris County, Texas. Suit concerning Brio (Houston, Texas) Superfund site. Retained by the Plaintiffs.	5/13/92
21	Civil Action No. CIV-91-655-W; <i>Larry and Judy Bentley v. Koch Gathering Systems, Inc.</i> Suit concerning the remediation of an oil spill. Retained by Koch.	2/21/92 1/16/92
20	Cause No. 90C0468; <i>Billy White, et. al., v. BP Chemicals, Inc. et. al.,</i> District Court of Brazoria County, Texas.	1/7/92
19	File No. 3-90-312; <i>Kenneth M. Anderson as Personal Representative of the Estate of Fred W. Hedberg v. City of Minnetonka et al.</i> United States District Court for the District of Minnesota, Third Division. Retained by the Plaintiff.	7/16/91
18	Consolidated Civil Action No. 83-C-2379; <i>United States of America v. Shell Oil Company</i> , consolidated with Civil Action No. 89-C-1646, <i>United States of America v. State of Colorado.</i> U.S. District Court for the State of Colorado. Retained by the U.S.A.	4/22-23/91
17	Case No. 485,475; <i>Billy Fred Platt and Paula Kay Callahan v. Bio-Gro Systems, Inc. and the City of Austin.</i> 353 rd District Court, Travis County, Texas. Contamination to soil resulting from sewage sludge application. Retained by Mr. Platt.	3/28/91
16	Cause No. C88-0190-B consolidated with C89-0153-B; <i>Sinclair Oil Corporation v. James S. Scherer, et al., and United States of America v. Sinclair Oil Corporation.</i> United States District Court for the District of Wyoming. Suit concerning alleged contamination from refinery operations. Retained by the United States.	4/27/90
15	Case No. 63,993; <i>Lawrence and Verna Postier v. Laidlaw Waste Systems, Inc., et. al.,</i> 240 th Judicial District Court for Fort Bend County, Texas. Personal injury as a result of methane gas migration from a landfill. Retained by the Plaintiff.	1/9/90 12/21/89
14	Cause No. 1-88-0141-W; <i>Watts and wife v. Koch Gathering Systems, Inc.</i> United States District Court, North Texas Division, Abilene. Suit concerning land damages resulting from an oil spill. Retained by Koch.	8/23/89
13	<i>The United States of America, the State of New York, and UDC-Love Canal, Inc., v. Occidental Chemical Corp., Occidental Chemical Holding Corp., Occidental Petroleum Investment Co., Occidental Petroleum Corp., City of Niagara Falls, Niagara County Health Department, and the Board of Education of the City of Niagara Falls, Love Canal Landfill.</i> United States District Court for the Western District of New York. Deposition on the mobility of organic pollutants through clay. Retained by the Plaintiff.	3/20-21/89

Comprehensive Listing of Testimony by K. W. Brown

12	Case No. 85-17210-C; <i>James L. Slaughter, et al., v. Farm and Home Savings, et al.</i> , and Case No. 86-48352; <i>Mike Fenimore, et al., v. Farm and Home Savings</i> . 151 st Judicial District Court of Harris County, Texas. Damages and clean-up of Brio (Houston, Texas) Superfund site. Retained by the Plaintiffs.	1/13/89 11/11/88
11	Case No. CIV-86-1401-P; <i>The United States of America v. Royal N. Hardage, et al., Advance Chemical Company, et al., v. ABCO, et al.</i> United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma. Enforcement of ROD concerning remediation of hazardous waste site. Retained by the Plaintiff.	6/13/88 12/2/88
10	Case No. H-86-2629; <i>United States of America v. International Shoe Company</i> . RCRA compliance for solvent waste surface impoundment. Retained by the U.S.A.	3/5/88
9	<i>Joseph Edward Powell, et al., v. Pulte, et. al.</i> , District Court of Harris County, Texas. Vol. 1 No. 84-75865.	11/11/88
8	Case No. M-85-191-CA; <i>Sammy C. McElroy and wife, Kathleen Ann McElroy v. Getty Oil Company and Texaco, Inc.</i> United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Marshall Division; and Case No. CA-93-54; <i>Sammy C. McElroy and wife, Kathleen Ann McElroy v. Halliburton Company</i> . District Court In and For Panola County, Texas. Fish kill resulting from acid drainages from dried pond bottom mud. Retained by the Defendant.	9/5/86 11/7/86
7	Case No. 84-1112-A; <i>Gary L. Overstreet and Glenda Ann Overstreet v. Texas Oil and Gas Corporation and Delhi Gas Pipeline Corporation</i> . 14 th Judicial District Court of Dallas County, Texas. Suit concerning alleged sulfur contamination of soil, forage, and its potential impact on the productivity of dairy cattle. Retained by the Defendant.	5/2/86
6	Case No. 86-6-8186; <i>Mobil Producing Texas and New Mexico v. Burr Stafford, et al.</i> 24 th Judicial District Court In and For Jackson County, Texas. Testimony on the damages to soil and crops caused by oil production activities. Retained by the Plaintiff.	3/11/86
5	Case No. 83-CV-1116; <i>Clifford and Sandra Schiesl, et al., and Orrin Hagen v. Uniroyal, Inc., and Waste Management of Wisconsin, Inc. v. City of Stoughton, et al., v. Richard H. Sundby, et al.</i> Circuit Court for the State of Wisconsin, Dane County. Contribution of municipal waste constituents to ground water contamination of the Hagen Landfill (Superfund Site) in Dane County, Wisconsin. Retained by Uniroyal.	10/17/86
4	<i>Bagwell Greenhouse v. Ball Seed Company</i> . Suit over loss of crops due to contaminated plant growth mixture. Retained by the Defendant.	
3	<i>French v. Voluntary Purchasing Groups, Inc.</i> Suit over the loss of cattle and the contamination of pasture land with arsenic. Retained by the Defendant.	
2	<i>Rader and Carpenter v. Texas-New Mexico Pipeline Company</i> . Damage to soil, trees, and pasture due to oil spill down creek channel. Retained by the Defendant.	3/11/88
1	<i>Stronglite Products Company v. Frit Industries</i> . Suit over the sale of products that contaminated plant growth mixture. Retained by the Plaintiff.	

Comprehensive Listing of Testimony by K. W. Brown

REGULATORY HEARING TESTIMONY

26	Testimony at a hearing of the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Protection regarding the GSX landfill. Retained by the Opposition.	3/93 2/93
25	In the matter of the application of the North Texas Municipal Water District to Amend Solid Waste Permit No. 568A	2/15/93
24	Testimony at a hearing on a proposed permit amendment regarding the City of McKinney (TX) Landfill. Retained by the Opposition.	1/27/93
23	Testimony at hearings of the Public Utility Commission of Texas regarding the Lon C. Hill-Coleto Creek 345 kv Transmission Line. Retained by the Applicant.	6/1/92 5/92 1990
22	Testimony at a hearing to review an amendment to expand the City of Waco (TX) Landfill. Retained by the Opposition.	1/23/91
21	Testimony at hearing regarding the siting of the Cherokee County Landfill (TX) near an aquifer recharge area. Retained by the Opposition.	9/21-22/88
20	Testimony at permit hearing regarding the Green Valley Environmental Corporation Landfill in Greenup County, Kentucky, regarding suitable siting criteria for a landfill. Retained by opposing parties Clarence Clay, Janet Brown, et. al.	9/88
19	Application of Metropolitan Waste Systems for a Landfill.	7/27/88
18	Application of Laidlaw Waste Systems, Inc. before the Regional Pollution Control Facility Siting Committee of the McHenry County Board.	6/17/87
17	Testimony at a hearing of the Wayne County Board of Commissioners (IL) on operation and maintenance of landfills and associated problems that can impact the environment.	7/15-18/86
16	Testimony at a hearing of the McHenry County Board of Commissioners (IL) on the siting of a proposed landfill. Retained by the Opposition.	12/22/85
15	Testimony at a hearing to review a Browning-Ferris Industries municipal landfill (TX) permit application. Retained by the Tri-County Civic Association.	11/9/83
14	Testimony at an administrative hearing in Illinois on the criteria to be used to ban organic chemicals from landfills.	11/1/83
13	Testimony at hearings held by the Texas Railroad Commission regarding the Texas Municipal Power Agency. Retained by TMPA.	1980
12	Testimony at a permit hearing regarding a proposed Chemical Waste Management landfill in Lake Charles, Louisiana.	1978
11	Testimony at EPA hearing on Chemical Waste Management Part B application for hazardous waste site at Elmiel, Alabama. Comments on permit deficiencies. Retained by the Opposition.	

Comprehensive Listing of Testimony by K. W. Brown

- 10 Testimony at an administrative hearing on the location of a Florida landfill in deep sandy soils. Retained by Citizens for a Clean Environment.
- 9 Testimony at a hearing on the State of Florida's alternative landfill liner designs. Retained by MFM Environmental.
- 8 Testimony at a hearing of the Jefferson County Board of Commissioners (IL) on the siting of a proposed landfill. Retained by the Opposition.
- 7 Testimony at a hearing of the Iniquois County Board of Commissioners (IL) on the siting of a proposed municipal waste in water table. Retained by the Opposition.
- 6 Testimony at a permit hearing on the land treatment of industrial wastes at the Exxon Chemical-Rollins Environmental facility in Baton Rouge, Louisiana. Retained by Exxon and Rollins.
- 5 Testimony on pond design and assessment of offsite environmental risks at the USPCI facility in Oklahoma. Retained by USPCI.
- 4 Testimony at a hearing of the Texas Railroad Commission regarding revocation of a permit for drilling mud disposal operations which had overflowed onto adjacent soil. Retained by the Opposition.
- 3 Testimony at a hearing of the Texas Water Commission regarding the land treatment of industrial wastes by Conservation Services, Inc. Retained by the Applicant.
- 2 Testimony at a hearing of the Texas Water Commission concerning the Liberty Waste Disposal Company landfill to be located near Anahuac, Texas. Retained by the Opposition.
- 1 Testimony at a hearing of the Texas Water Commission regarding a zero discharge permit for the irrigation disposal of treated sewage effluent. Retained by Upland Industries.

Comprehensive Listing of Testimony by K. W. Brown

LEGISLATIVE HEARING TESTIMONY

6	Testimony at a hearing of the Texas House of Representatives, Environmental Affairs Committee in April 1987, on the need for legislation to set up a waste management plan for the state.	4/87
5	Testimony at a hearing of the Texas Governor's Taskforce on Oil Spills in February 1985, on the fate of oil spill debris, and disposal technology options.	2/85
4	Testimony at a hearing of the Texas Governor's Taskforce on Hazardous Waste in November 1984, on the effectiveness of landfills for disposal technology.	11/84
3	Testimony at a hearing of the Texas Governor's Taskforce on Hazardous Waste in June 1984, on the impact of organic chemicals on the permeability of soils.	6/84
2	Testimony at a hearing of the U.S. House of Representatives, Science and Technology Committee in November 1982, on the adequacy of EPA's liquid management system to protect groundwater at hazardous waste landfills.	11/82
1	Testimony at a hearing of the Texas House of Representatives, Environmental Affairs Committee in April 1982, on the impact of organic chemicals on the permeability of clay liners.	4/82

Comprehensive Listing of Testimony by K. W. Brown

EXPERT WITNESS REPORT/AFFIDAVITS

- | | | |
|----|--|----------|
| 85 | Cause No. GN-401028; Sotero Carrillo, Jose Carmen Carrillo, Miguel Cruz, and Greg Fuller Plaintiffs v. Reichold, Inc., Defendants, Zurich American Insurance Co., Intervenor. In the District Court of Travis County, Texas 98 th Judicial District. | 8/29/06 |
| 84 | Court File No. 94-CQ-57578-CM; Ontario Superior Court of Justice between: <i>Frank Augustine, et al., Plaintiffs, and INCO Limited, Defendant</i> . This case involved claims of contamination and economic loss as a result of emissions from the former nickel smelter in Port Colborne, Ontario. Expert Report. Retained by the Defendant. | 5/1/06 |
| 83 | Case No. 3:98-CV-3601; <i>Cargill, Inc., et al., Plaintiffs, v. ABCO Construction, Inc., et al., Defendant</i> , in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, Western Division. This case involved claims of the disposal of hazardous substances in the former Valleycrest Landfill and the subsequent contamination of the Great Miami Aquifer. Expert Report. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 3/1/06 |
| 82 | Case no. 04-C-296-2; <i>Lenora Perrine, et al., Plaintiffs vs. E.I. DuPont de Nemours and Company, et al., Defendants</i> , in the Circuit Court of Harrison County, West Virginia. This case involved claims of contamination of homes and properties with metal dust from the former Meadowbrook Smelter in Spelter, West Virginia. Rebuttal Report. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 2/28/06 |
| 81 | Court File No. 94-CQ-57578-CM; Ontario Superior Court of Justice between: <i>Frank Augustine, et al., Plaintiffs, and INCO Limited, Defendant</i> . This case involved claims of contamination and economic loss as a result of emissions from the former nickel smelter in Port Colborne, Ontario. Expert Report. Retained by the Defendant. | 2/16/06 |
| 80 | Case no. 04-C-296-2; <i>Lenora Perrine, et al., Plaintiffs vs. E.I. DuPont de Nemours and Company, et al., Defendants</i> , in the Circuit Court of Harrison County, West Virginia. This case involved claims of contamination of homes and properties with metal dust from the former Meadowbrook Smelter in Spelter, West Virginia. Expert Report. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 11/11/05 |
| 79 | Civil No. 95-2907 (DMC); <i>Interfaith Community Organization, et al., Plaintiffs vs. Honeywell International Inc., et al., Defendants</i> in the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. This case involved claims against the defendants concerning disposal of chromium waste at the Roosevelt Drive-In Site in Jersey City, New Jersey. Declaration. Retained by Honeywell, Defendant. | 10/05/05 |
| 78 | Cause No. L-02-0087-CV-A; <i>Betty Waldean Woelfel, et al., Plaintiffs, vs. Intercontinental Energy Corporation d/b/a IEC Corp. of Texas and Westinghouse Electric Company, L.L.C., Defendants</i> in the District Court of Live Oak County, Texas, 36 th Judicial District. Case involved the remediation and release of land associated with the Lamprecht and Zamzow ISL Uranium mine sites. Supplemental Affidavit. Retained by Defendant Viacom. | 8/8/05 |

Comprehensive Listing of Testimony by K. W. Brown

- | | | |
|----|---|----------|
| 77 | Cause No. L-02-0087-CV-A; <i>Betty Waldean Woelfel, et al., Plaintiffs, vs. Intercontinental Energy Corporation d/b/a IEC Corp. of Texas and Westinghouse Electric Company, L.L.C., Defendants</i> in the District Court of Live Oak County, Texas, 36 th Judicial District. Case involved the remediation and release of land associated with the Lamprecht and Zamzow ISL Uranium mine sites. Affidavit. Retained by Defendant Viacom. | 7/29/05 |
| 76 | Cause No. 02-4162 JPG; <i>Chevron Environmental Management Company, Chevron Environmental Services Company, and Texaco Inc., Plaintiffs, v. Indian Refining I Limited Partnership (f/k/a Indian Refining Limited Partnership), et al, Defendants</i> in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois. Case involved remediation and allocation of costs for the former Indian refinery in Lawrenceville, IL. Affidavit. Retained by the Plaintiff | 7/19/05 |
| 75 | Case No. 03-CV-327 (H) M; <i>Betty Jean Cole, et al., Plaintiffs, v. Asarco Incorporated, et al., Defendants</i> in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma. Case involved an evaluation of the Tar Creek Superfund site and the subsequent assessment and evaluation of lead contamination and lead transport pathways in the communities of Picher and Cardin, Oklahoma, including the impacts of lead exposure to the children within these communities. Affidavit. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 5/27/05 |
| 74 | Civil Action No. 95-CV-6400L, <i>Seneca Meadows, Plaintiff vs. ECI Liquidating, et al., Defendants</i> in the United States District Court, Western District of New York. This case involved claims against defendants concerning the disposal of hazardous substances in the Tantalo Landfill, Seneca Falls, New York. Retained by the Plaintiff. | 5/10/05 |
| 73 | Cause No. 02-4162 JPG; <i>Chevron Environmental Management Company, Chevron Environmental Services Company, and Texaco Inc., Plaintiffs, v. Indian Refining I Limited Partnership (f/k/a Indian Refining Limited Partnership), et al, Defendants</i> in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois. Case involved remediation and allocation of costs for the former Indian refinery in Lawrenceville, IL. Retained by the Plaintiff | 5/2/05 |
| 72 | File No. C7-0310992; <i>State of Minnesota, Plaintiff, v. American Hardware Mutual Insurance Company, Defendants</i> in the District Court of Minnesota, Tenth Judicial District. Case involved the disposal of hazardous substances with industrial waste at Oak Grove and East Bethel Landfills and the contamination of groundwater as a result of these disposal practices. Retained by the Plaintiff. | 4/11/05 |
| 71 | Cause No. C200300273 <i>Judy Miller, Stan Miller, Deanna Aureli, Brent Aureli, and Nicholas Aureli v Blue Haven Pool, Defendant</i> in the Texas Judicial Court of Johnson County. Case involved claims against the defendant regarding the installation of a swimming pool, and a broken septic sewer line. Retained by the Defendant. | 11/22/04 |

Comprehensive Listing of Testimony by K. W. Brown

- | | | |
|----|--|----------|
| 70 | Case No. 03-CV-327 (H) M; <i>Betty Jean Cole, et al., Plaintiffs, v. Asarco Incorporated, et al., Defendants</i> in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma. Case involved an evaluation of the Tar Creek Superfund site and the subsequent assessment and evaluation of lead contamination and lead transport pathways in the communities of Picher and Cardin, Oklahoma, including the impacts of lead exposure to the children within these communities. Expert Rebuttal Report. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 10/28/04 |
| 69 | Nos. 03-2760, 03-3037 & 03-3585; <i>Interfaith Community Organization, et al., Plaintiffs vs. Honeywell International Inc., et al., Defendants</i> in the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. This case involved claims against the defendants concerning disposal of chromium waste at the Roosevelt Drive-In Site in Jersey City, New Jersey. Affidavit. Retained by W.R. Grace & Co., W.R. Grace Ltd. and ECARG, Inc., Defendants. | 6/25/04 |
| 68 | Civil Action No. 01:01-CV-890; <i>Lyondell Chemical Company, et al., Plaintiffs v. Albemarle Corporation, et al., Defendants</i> in the United States District Court for the Eastern District Of Texas Beaumont Division. This case involved the disposal of waste containing hazardous substances and groundwater contamination at the Turtle Bayou Superfund in Liberty County, Texas. Supplemental Report. Retained by ExxonMobil, Defendant. | 5/14/04 |
| 67 | Case No. 94 CV 012385; <i>Employers Insurance of Wausau, A Mutual Company, Plaintiffs, v. Newell Co., Defendants</i> in the State of Wisconsin Circuit Court for Milwaukee County. Case involved characterization of waste streams and the hazardous constituent content of waste streams produced by the Newell Co. subsidiaries, as well as the disposal of hazardous constituents into municipal landfills by the Newell Co. subsidiaries. Expert Report. Retained by the Defendants. | 5/3/04 |
| 66 | Cause No. 03-001121-CV; <i>Joseph Paul Horlen, et al., Plaintiffs, v. Robert S. Smith and Robo Investments, Inc., Defendants</i> in the District Court of Brazos County, Texas, 361 st Judicial District. Case involved the subsurface loss of water from a man-made lake within a residential subdivision and the subsequent undercutting of riverbank along the Brazos River. Affidavit. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 4/29/04 |
| 65 | Case No. 03-CV-327 (H) M; <i>Betty Jean Cole, et al., Plaintiffs, v. Asarco Incorporated, et al., Defendants</i> in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma. Case involved an evaluation of the Tar Creek Superfund site and the subsequent assessment and evaluation of lead contamination and lead transport pathways in the communities of Picher and Cardin, Oklahoma, including the impacts of lead exposure to the children within these communities. Expert Report. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 4/12/04 |
| 64 | Cause No. 03-001121-CV; <i>Joseph Paul Horlen, et al., Plaintiffs, v. Robert S. Smith and Robo Investments, Inc., Defendants</i> in the District Court of Brazos County, Texas, 361 st Judicial District. Case involved the subsurface loss of water from a man-made lake within a residential subdivision and the subsequent undercutting of riverbank along the Brazos River. Expert Opinion. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 3/5/04 |

Comprehensive Listing of Testimony by K. W. Brown

-
- | | | |
|----|---|----------|
| 63 | Docket No. CWA-06-2003-4805; <i>BP Pipelines (North America) Inc., Respondent, v. United States Environmental Protection Agency Region 6</i> . Case involved the subsurface release of crude oil from a pipeline at a site near Mertzon, Texas. Declaration. Retained by the Respondent. | 2/6/04 |
| 62 | Civil Action No. 95-2097; <i>Interfaith Community Organization, et al., vs. Honeywell International Inc., et al.</i> , in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. This case involved claims against the defendants concerning disposal of chromium waste at the Roosevelt Drive-In Site in Jersey City, New Jersey. Affidavit. Retained by W.R. Grace & Co., W.R. Grace Ltd. and ECARG, Inc., Defendants. | 1/9/04 |
| 61 | Civil Action No. 01:01-CV-890; <i>Lyondell Chemical Company, et al., Plaintiffs v. Albemarle Corporation, et al., Defendants</i> in the United States District Court for the Eastern District Of Texas Beaumont Division. This case involved the disposal of waste containing hazardous substances and groundwater contamination at the Turtle Bayou Superfund in Liberty County, Texas. Expert Witness Report. Retained by ExxonMobil, Defendant. | 12/19/03 |
| 60 | File No. CT 02-016741; <i>State of Minnesota, by its Attorney General, Mike Hatch, Plaintiff, v. American Hardware Mutual Insurance Company, et al., Defendants</i> in the District Court of Minnesota, Fourth Judicial District. Case involved the breach of the asphalt seal in the Hazardous Waste Disposal Pit at the Waste Disposal Engineering Landfill in Anoka County contributed to the groundwater contamination in the vicinity of the site. Affidavit. Retained by the Plaintiff. | 12/19/03 |
| 59 | Civil Action No. 95-2097; <i>Interfaith Community Organization, et al., vs. Honeywell International Inc., et al.</i> , in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. This case involved claims against the defendants concerning disposal of chromium waste at the Roosevelt Drive-In Site in Jersey City, New Jersey. Affidavit. Retained by W.R. Grace & Co., W.R. Grace Ltd. and ECARG, Inc., Defendants. | 12/3/03 |
| 58 | Docket No. CWA-06-2003-4805; <i>BP Pipelines (North America) Inc., Respondent, v. United States Environmental Protection Agency Region 6</i> . Case involved the subsurface release of crude oil from a pipeline at a site near Mertzon, Texas. Expert Witness Report. Retained by the Respondent. | 11/21/03 |
| 57 | Civil Action No. 95-2097; <i>Interfaith Community Organization, et al., Plaintiffs vs. Honeywell International Inc., et al., Defendants</i> in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. This case involved claims against the defendants concerning disposal of chromium waste at the Roosevelt Drive-In Site in Jersey City, New Jersey. Declaration. Retained by W.R. Grace & Co., W.R. Grace Ltd. and ECARG, Inc., Defendants. | 11/13/03 |
| 56 | Civil Action No. 95-CV-6400L; <i>Seneca Meadows, Inc., et al., Plaintiffs, v. ECI Liquidating, Inc., et al., Defendants</i> in The United States District Court Western District Of New York. Case involved the disposal of industrial and domestic waste at the Tantalio Landfill in Seneca County. Expert Witness Report. Retained by the Plaintiff. | 10/17/03 |

Comprehensive Listing of Testimony by K. W. Brown

-
- | | | |
|----|---|----------|
| 55 | Civil Action No. 98-CV-0838S (F); <i>W.R. Grace & Co.-Conn., Plaintiff, V. Zotos International, Inc., Defendant</i> in the United States District Court Western District Of New York. Case involved the disposal of cosmetic waste at the Brewer Road Landfill in Waterloo County, and the contamination of groundwater as a result of these disposal practices. Declaration. Retained by the Plaintiff. | 9/29/03 |
| 54 | Court File No. MC00-001819; <i>State of Minnesota, by its Attorney General, Mike Hatch, Plaintiff, v. Employers Insurance of Wausau, A Mutual Company, et al., Defendants</i> in the District Court of Minnesota, Fourth Judicial District. Case involved the disposal of hazardous substances with industrial waste at the Oak Grove Landfill and East Bethel Landfill in Anoka County, and the contamination of groundwater as a result of these disposal practices. Affidavit. Retained by the Plaintiff. | 2/5/03 |
| 53 | Case No. 00-01917 MRP (MANx); <i>Shell Chemical Co., et al., Plaintiffs, vs. The County of Los Angeles, et al., Defendants</i> in the United States District Court for the Central District of California; Case No. 00-1938 MRP (MANx); <i>Phillips Petroleum Co., et al., Plaintiffs, vs. The County of Los Angeles, et al., Defendants</i> in the United States District Court for the Central District of California; and Case No. 00-6420 MRP (MANx); <i>Atlantic Richfield Co., et al., Plaintiffs, vs. BKK Corporation, et al., Defendants</i> in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. These combined cases involved hazardous substances associated with municipal solid waste being deposited at Cal Compact Landfill. Expert Witness Report. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 1/13/03 |
| 52 | Cause No. 98-56362; <i>Browning-Ferris Industries, Inc., et al., Plaintiffs, v. Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's London, et al., Defendants</i> in the 80 th Judicial District, District Court of Harris County, Texas. This case involved the disposal of waste containing hazardous substances and groundwater contamination at the Renner Landfill in Beaumont, Texas. Expert Witness Report. Retained by the Defendants. | 1/03/03 |
| 51 | Case No. 80-1589; <i>United States of America, Plaintiff, vs. City of Philadelphia, Plaintiff-Intervenor, vs. Union Corporation Metal Bank of America, et al., Defendants, vs. Consolidated Edison Company of New York, et al., Third Party Defendants</i> in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. This case involved claims against the defendants concerning the release of PCBs from the Metal Bank/Cottman Avenue Site to the Delaware River. Rebuttal Report. Retained by the Defendants. | 8/23/02 |
| 50 | Civil Action No. 98-CV-0696A (F); <i>Booth Oil Site Administrative Group, Plaintiffs, vs. Safety-Kleen Corp., et al., Defendants</i> , in the United States District Court for the Western District of New York. This case involved claims against the defendants concerning the release of contaminants during used oil-recycling operations at the Booth Oil facility in North Tonawanda, New York. Affidavit. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 05/02/02 |
| 49 | Case No. 80-1589; <i>United States of America, Plaintiff, vs. City of Philadelphia, Plaintiff-Intervenor, vs. Union Corporation Metal Bank of America, et al., Defendants, vs. Consolidated Edison Company of New York, et al., Third Party Defendants</i> in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. This case involved claims against the defendants concerning the release of PCBs from the Metal Bank/Cottman Avenue Site to the Delaware River. Expert Witness Report. Retained by the Defendants. | 8/23/01 |

Comprehensive Listing of Testimony by K. W. Brown

- | | | |
|----|---|---------|
| 48 | Civil Action No. 1999-48287; <i>Tim Dyring et al., Plaintiffs, v. Rohm & Haas Texas, Inc., et al., Defendants</i> in the 125 th District Court of Texas. This case involved claims against the defendants concerning the release of hazardous substances to the groundwater from waste materials disposed at the Charley Burch Site in South Montgomery County, Texas. Expert Witness Report. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 5/31/01 |
| 47 | Civil Action No. 95-2097; <i>Interfaith Community Organization, et al., vs. Honeywell International Inc., et al.</i> , in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. This case involved claims against the defendants concerning disposal of chromium waste at the Roosevelt Drive-In Site in Jersey City, New Jersey. Expert Witness Report. Retained by W.R. Grace & Co., W.R. Grace Ltd. and ECARG, Inc., Defendants. | 3/27/01 |
| 46 | Civil Action No. G-96-493; <i>Janie Rivas, et al., vs. Monsanto Company, et al.</i> , in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas Galveston Division. This case involves modeling of emissions and air dispersion of hazardous substances emanating from petrochemical wastes processed and disposed of at the Brio/Dixie Oil Processors Superfund Sites in Houston, Texas and related exposures to children in adjacent neighborhoods. Expert Witness Report. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 3/2/01 |
| 45 | Civil Action No. 5:97 CV00894; <i>United States of America vs. Chrysler Corporation, et al.</i> , in the United States District Court for the Northern District Of Ohio. This case involved claims against the defendants concerning disposal of hazardous substances in the Krejci Dump Site. Expert Witness Report. Retained by Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Co., Defendant. | 2/28/01 |
| 44 | Civil Action No. H-98-0408 <i>United States of America, et al vs. Atlantic Richfield Company, et al vs. Ashland, Inc., et al.</i> , in the United States District Court Southern District of Texas Houston Division. This case involved claims against defendants concerning waste disposal at Sikes Pit. Expert Witness Report. Retained by ExxonMobil, Defendant. | 2/15/01 |
| 43 | Case No. 98-CV0726 <i>Connie Lolley Klostermann, et al vs. Ultramar Diamond Shamrock Corporation, et al.</i> , in the 212 th Judicial District Court, Galveston County, Texas. This case involved a lawsuit by the landowner concerning property damage resulting from leaking storage tank contamination. Retained by Diamond Shamrock, Defendant. | 5/19/00 |
| 42 | Case No. 97-6222 MRP (MANx) <i>Commercial Realty Projects, Inc., and L.A. Metromall LLC, vs. Atlantic Richfield Company, et al.</i> , in the United States District Court in for the Central District of California. This case involved hazardous substances associated with municipal solid waste being deposited at Cal Compact Landfill. Retained by the Defendants. | 5/8/00 |
| 41 | Civil Action No. 95-CV-6400L, <i>Seneca Meadows vs. ECI Liquidating, et al.</i> This case involved claims against defendants concerning the disposal of hazardous substances in the Tantalio Landfill, Seneca Falls, New York. Retained by the Plaintiff. | 4/18/00 |
| 40 | Case No. 92-034865; <i>James E. Barnet, Sr., et al., vs. Monsanto Company, et al.</i> In the District Court of Harris County, Texas, 80 th District Court. This case involved former workers' claims concerning exposure to hazardous chemicals. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 4/7/00 |

Comprehensive Listing of Testimony by K. W. Brown

- | | | |
|----|---|-------------------------------|
| 39 | Case No. 97-6222 MRP (MANx) <i>Commercial Realty Projects, Inc., and L.A. Metromall LLC, vs. Atlantic Richfield Company, et al.</i> , in the United States District Court in for the Central District of California. This case involved hazardous substances associated with municipal solid waste being deposited at Cal Compact Landfill. Retained by the Defendant. | 2/25/00 |
| 38 | Civil Action No. 89-4340(JBS); <i>The United States v. Helen Kramer</i> ; United States District Court District of New Jersey. This case involved claims against a defendant concerning the disposal of hazardous substances in the Kramer Landfill (Superfund Site). Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 6/99 |
| 37 | Civil Action No. G-96-494; <i>Thu Van Le, et al., v. Monsanto Co., et al.; Defendant</i> . In the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas Galveston Division. This case involved modeling of emissions and air dispersion of hazardous substances emanating from petrochemical wastes processed and disposed of at the Brio/Dixie Oil Processors Superfund Sites in Houston, Texas and related exposures to children in adjacent neighborhoods. Retained by the Plaintiffs | 2/5/99 |
| 36 | Civil Action No. G-96-493; <i>Janie Rivas, et al., vs. Monsanto Company, et al.; Defendant</i> . In the United States District Court for the Southern district of Texas Galveston Division. This case involved modeling of emissions and air dispersion of hazardous substances emanating from petrochemical wastes processed and disposed of at the Brio/Dixie Oil Processors Superfund Sites in Houston, Texas and related exposures to children in adjacent neighborhoods. Retained by the Plaintiffs | 2/5/99 |
| 35 | Civil Action No. 95-2215; <i>Becton Dickinson Puerto Rico, Inc., et al., vs. Cheeseborough Pond's Manufacturing Company, et al.</i> ; United States District Court For the District of Puerto Rico. This case involved claims against the defendant concerning the disposal of hazardous substances in the Juncos Landfill (Superfund Site). Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 1/19/99
3/3/97
11/14/96 |
| 34 | Case No. 95C-1065; <i>Lemberger Sites Remediation Group, Plaintiff, v. A.M. Richter & Sons Co., et al., and White Consolidated Industries, Inc., Defendants</i> ; In the United States District Court Eastern District of Wisconsin. This case involved hazardous constituents in waste going to Lemberger Landfill (Superfund Site). Retained by the Plaintiff. | 11/12/98 |
| 33 | Case No. 98-459-A, <i>Lewie Byers, vs. Texaco Exploration and Production Inc. and Texaco, Inc.</i> ; In the District Court of Smith County, Texas 7 th Judicial District. This case involves claims of contamination due to releases of crude oil and fluids from oil field production activities. Retained by the Defendant. | 4/30/99
2/29/99
1/29/99 |
| 32 | Case No. 96-72483; <i>Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing (3M) Company, Plaintiff v. Howard W. Stein, Jr., Stein Enterprises, Inc. (f/k/a Stein's Flower Shop and Green Houses, Inc.), the Dow Chemical Company, and General Motors Corporation, Defendants</i> . In the United States District Court for the District of Michigan, Southern Division. Case involved evaluation of waste disposed at the Michigan Avenue Dumpsite by General Motors Corporation and Dow Chemical Company. Retained by the Plaintiff, 3M. | 6/31/98
6/15/98 |

Comprehensive Listing of Testimony by K. W. Brown

- | | | |
|----|--|------------------------------------|
| 31 | Case 75524; <i>Clarice Friloux, et al., Plaintiffs, vs. Campbell Wells Corporation, et al., Defendants</i> . In the 17 th Judicial District Court, Parish of Lafourche, Louisiana. Case involved claims of offsite air migration of hazardous substances purportedly associated with a non-hazardous oilfield waste disposal facility. Retained by the Defendants. | 5/4/98
1/6/98 |
| 30 | Civil Action No. 95-514875-CE; <i>Grand Trunk Western Railroad, Incorporated and Star Oil Company, Inc., Plaintiffs vs. Union Oil Company of California, Wynkoop Oil Company, Clement Wynkoop, Secory Oil Company and Lewis Secory, Defendants and Union Oil Company and Clement Wynkoop, Counter-Plaintiffs/Cross-Plaintiffs vs. Secory Oil Company and Lewis Secory</i> . Case involved modeling of the transport and fate of hydrocarbon fuels, which leaked from storage, tanks at a terminal and allegedly migrated onto adjoining properties of plaintiffs. Retained by the Defendants. | 5/22/97 and
6/2/97 to
6/3/97 |
| 29 | Court File No. 3-95-933. <i>Onan Corporation, Plaintiff and the State of Minnesota, and by its Attorney General, Hubert H. Humphrey, III, and by It Pollution Control Agency, Intervenor, v. Continental Insurance Company, Defendant</i> . In the United States District Court, District of Minnesota. Case involved time of Leachate travel through two Landfills in Minnesota, Oak Grove Landfill and WDE Landfill, and the impact of the Leachate on the groundwater. For the Intervenor. | 6/18/97 |
| 28 | Civil Action No. 96-C-00489-5; <i>Junker Landfill Trust, Plaintiff vs. United Waste Systems, Inc. et al., Defendants, and Junker Recycling, Inc., et al., Defendants and Third Party Plaintiffs, vs. Garry Thompson, et al., Third Party Defendants</i> ; and Civil Action No. 96-C-00489-5, <i>Landfill Remediation Trust, Plaintiff vs. Garry Thompson, et al., Defendants</i> . In the United States District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin. Case involved contamination of groundwater, surface water, soil gas, and soil at the Junker Landfill (Superfund Site) and the relationship of that contamination to the wastes from over 450 generators. Retained by Plaintiffs. | 2/20/97 and
2/25/97 |
| 27 | Case No. 61180; <i>Kenneth and Helen Songer, Plaintiffs vs. Billy and Mary Clement d/b/a Cecle Clement & Sons, and Harrison, Walker, Harper, Inc. and Joe Archer, d/b/a Archer Excavating</i> . In the District Court of Lamar County, Texas, 6 th Judicial District. Case involved claims of air contamination (hazardous gases and particulates) from trucking and excavating operations. Retained by the Defendants. | 7/1/97 and
10/31/97 |
| 26 | Case No. 93-C-0314; <i>Hunt's Generator Committee, et al., Plaintiffs v. Allis Chalmers Corporation, et al., Defendants</i> . In the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin. Case involved identification of waste products and hazardous substances within those waste products, which were disposed at the Hunt's Disposal Landfill Site near Caledonia, Wisconsin. The wastes were generated by various classes of commercial establishments, institutions, retail shops, and dwellings. | 4/14/97 |
| 25 | Civil Action No. 94-1449-A; <i>Ardith Cavallo, Plaintiff vs. Star Enterprise, Texaco Refining & Marketing (East), Inc., and Saudi Refining, Inc., Defendants</i> . In the United States District Court, District of Eastern, Virginia. Case involved claim of property damage from hydrocarbons in the groundwater, which allegedly migrated to plaintiff's property from a fuel terminal in Fairfax, Virginia. Retained by the Defendants. | 3/6/97 |

Comprehensive Listing of Testimony by K. W. Brown

- | | | |
|----|--|----------|
| 24 | Case No. 93-004644; <i>Mike Adalis, et. al., Plaintiffs, vs. Neighborhood Development Corporation, et. al., Defendants.</i> In the District Court of Harris County, Texas, 269 th Judicial District. Case involved claims of groundwater and related drinking water well contamination attributable to 50 year old oil well blowout. Retained by the defendant Exxon. | 12/16/96 |
| 23 | Case No. 2:92-CV-111; <i>Commercial Union Insurance Co., et al. v. Cannelton Industries, Inc.,</i> In the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan. Case involved claim against insurance company for environmental remediation cost recovery associated with chromium contamination of St. Mary's River due to a fire at an old tannery plant. (587096012) Retained by Defendant. | 9/5/96 |
| 22 | Case No. 93-C-0324; <i>Hunt's Generator Committee, et al. v. Allis Chalmers Corporation, et al;</i> In the United States District Court, Eastern District of Wisconsin. Case involved identification of hazardous constituents in common household products found in the municipal solid waste from one city and disposed at the Hunt's Disposal Landfill Superfund Site at Caledonia, Wisconsin. (587096011) Retained by Plaintiff PRP Group. | 8/21/96 |
| 21 | Civil Action No. H-95-776; <i>Rodney and Brenda Kay Beaver A/N/F of Wesley Michael Beaver and Claude Paul Hargraves v. Monsanto Company;</i> In the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division. Cause involved short-term exposure of children to toxic contaminants in air, soil, and drinking water at athletic facility located adjacent to waste disposal/processing sites (Dixie Oil Processors/Brio Superfund Sites). (587095020) Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 5/24/96 |
| 20 | Civil Action No. 87-4263(JHR); <i>General Electric Company v. Buzby Brothers Materials Handling Company, et al.</i> United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. Case involved recovery from commercial and municipal transporters of wastes of the costs for remediation of groundwater contamination at the site of the RCA-Buzby Landfill (Superfund Site) near Voorhees, New Jersey. (587094024) Retained by the Plaintiff. | 9/28/95 |
| 19 | Case No. 4-93-CV-193; <i>Cooper Industries, Inc., v. Abbott Laboratories, et al., in the U. S. District Court for the Western District of Michigan.</i> Case involving Sturgis (Michigan) Well Field Superfund Site and the apportionment of remediation costs as between plaintiff and 35 defendants for solvent contamination of groundwater. (587095007) Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 8/14/95 |
| 18 | Civil No. BC015575; <i>Atlantic Richfield Co. and ARCO Chemical Co., v. Aetna Casualty and Surety Co. of American.</i> Superior Court of the State of California. A Declaration of ARCO Garber, ARCO Sand Springs, and ARCO Prewitt. (587095012, 587095013, & 587095006)) | 10/17/95 |
| 17 | Docket No. N-93-39-BU-PGH; <i>Montana Resources Inc., et al., v. Atlantic Richfield Co.</i> U.S. District Court for the District of Montana. (587094008) Retained by the Defendant. | 6/14/94 |
| 16 | Civil Action No. 94-C-1025; <i>The City and County of Denver, et. al., v. Alumet Partnership, et al., and Alumet Partnership, et al., v. City of Aurora.</i> U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado. (587095003) Retained by the Defendants. | 5/31/95 |

Comprehensive Listing of Testimony by K. W. Brown

15	Civil Action No. 94-1449-A; <i>Ardith Cavallo v. Star Enterprise, Texaco Refining & Marketing (East), Inc., and Saudi Refining, Inc.</i> United States District Court, District of Eastern Virginia. Expert Witness Report. (587095010) Retained by the Defendants.	4/24/95
14	Civil Action No. 93-CV-0080-B; <i>KN Energy, Inc. et al., v. Sinclair Oil Corporation d/b/a Little America Refining Company.</i> United States District Court, District of Wyoming. Expert Witness Report. (586094001) Retained by the Plaintiff.	2/15/95
13	Docket Nos. BUR-L-2533-92/01267-93; <i>Gouryeb v. Woodland Township Planning Board</i> , Superior Court of New Jersey, Law Division/Burlington County. Affidavit. (587095004) Retained by the Plaintiff.	12/1/94
12	Consolidated Civil Actions No. 90-75 BU-PGH; <i>ARCO v. Oaas, et al.</i> , and No. 91-82-BU-PGH, <i>United States v. Montana Pole and Treating Plant, et al.</i> United States District Court for the District of Montana, Butte Division. Expert Witness Report. (586093010) Retained by the Defendant/Third Party Plaintiff.	8/15/94 9/12/94
11	Civil Action No. 94-243-A; <i>William H. Ogden and Gay E. Tiffany v. Star Enterprise, et al.</i> United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division. Declaration. (587094001) Retained by the Defendants.	8/31/94
10	Civil No. 93-186; <i>United States v. Somerset Refinery, Inc.</i> United States District Court for the Eastern District of Kentucky. Expert Witness Report. (587094013) Retained by the U.S. Department of Justice.	8/26/94
9	Civil No. 93-381-A; <i>Brian Feikema et al., v. Texaco, Inc., et al.</i> United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia. Declaration. (587094001) Retained by the Defendants.	7/14/94 6/29/94
8	Case No. 89-135; <i>Citizens Asking For a Safe Environment, Inc., et al., v. South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control, et al.</i> In the Matter of the RCRA Permit Decision for GSX Services of SC, Inc. Pinewood Facility, Sumter County; SC, before the State of South Carolina Board of Health and Environmental Control. Retained by the Opposition.	3/94
7	File No. 89-135; <i>Citizens Asking For a Safe Environment, Inc., et al., v. South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control, et al.</i> United States District Court for the District of Minnesota, Third Division. Affidavit. Retained by the Plaintiff.	12/18/92
6	Affidavit and rebuttal affidavit regarding the proposed Lon C. Hill-Coletto Creek 345 kV transmission line. Retained by Central Power & Light.	10/21/91 8/90
5	File No. 3-90-312; <i>Kenneth M. Anderson as Personal Representative of the Estate of Fred W. Hedberg v. City of Minnetonka et al.</i> United States District Court for the District of Minnesota, Third Division. Retained by the Plaintiff.	7/16/91
4	Civil Action No. N-87-52 (both cases); <i>The B. F. Goodrich Company, et al., v. Harold Murtha, et al.</i> , and <i>Harold Murtha, et al., v. Risdon Corporation.</i> Circuit Court for the State of Connecticut. Contribution of municipal waste to environmental contamination associated with the Beacon Heights and Laurel Park Landfills (Superfund Sites). Retained by the Laurel Park and the Beacon Heights Coalitions.	6/29/90

Comprehensive Listing of Testimony by K. W. Brown

- | | | |
|---|---|---------|
| 3 | Cause No. C88-0190-B consolidated with C89-0153-B; <i>Sinclair Oil Corporation v. James S. Scherer, et al., and United States of America v. Sinclair Oil Corporation</i> . United States District Court for the District of Wyoming. Suit concerning alleged contamination from refinery operations. Retained by the United States. | 4/27/90 |
| 2 | Case No. 80-4-CIV-7; <i>The United States of America v. Waste Industries, Inc., et al.</i> United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, Wilmington Division. Affidavit on leaking municipal landfill. Retained by the Plaintiff. | 9/29/85 |
| 1 | Affidavit and rebuttal affidavit regarding the proposed expansion of the Azusa Landfill (CA). (587095021) Retained by an <i>amicus curae</i> party. | |

Comprehensive Listing of Testimony by K. W. Brown

MEDIATION PRESENTATIONS AND ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTS

- | | | |
|---|---|----------|
| 3 | Report of "An Investigation and Assessment of the Texaco Sand Flat Unit," by Dr. Kirk W. Brown. Prepared for Wallace, King, Marraro, and Branson, LLC on behalf of Texaco Exploration and Production. | 11/13/98 |
| 2 | Report of "Evidence of Leachate Leaking from Azusa Landfill," by Dr. Kirk W. Brown. Prepared for Geoscience Support Services, Inc. for Metropolitan Water District of California. | |
| 1 | Cause No. 93-1235; <i>Adams, et al., v. RSR Corporation, et al.</i> 71 st Judicial District Court; Harrison County, Texas. Retained by the Plaintiffs. | 12/14/94 |